



**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-22**  
 Technical Evaluation of firms/ companies  
**I.T EQUIPMENT**

STAGE: 01

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/s: Zahid Khan & co, Fsd				REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Financial Position / Status</u></b> i. Income Tax registration certificate ii. Sales tax registration certificate iii. Professional Tax certificate iii. Bank Statement worth (min 03 million)	<b><u>15</u></b> (03) (03) (03) (06)	03 03 00 00				
2.	<b><u>Firm's Experience In The Relevant Field</u></b> i. 1-3 years experience ii. 4-8 years experience iii. 9-15 years & above experience	<b><u>15</u></b> (09) (12) (15)	09				
3.	<b><u>Overall past performance of the firm/company</u></b> a) very good b) good c) satisfactory d) No past experience with this institution but firm has experience with other Govt. Institutions e)Unsatisfactory/ Poor	<b><u>15</u></b> 15 12 10 09 (00)	12				
4.	<b><u>Technical Staff</u></b> i. 01 or more employees/owners holding bachelor degree or above.	<b><u>05</u></b> (05)	05				
	<b>Qualifying points 60% of total</b>	<b>Total Points = 50</b>	<b>32</b>				

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
 Bio medical Engineer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


1.	<b>Computer with All Accessories</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> Generation i5 6GB Ram, 500GB HDD, with Mouse Keyboard VGA Cable, Power Cables, Dell/HP/Lenovo or Equivalent</b>
----	--------------------------------------	---


SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. HP	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoab Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


2.		Ethernet Wire Cat-6	Cat-6 (copper) (1000 ft./Roll)		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Baynel	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoab Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. ADATA	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoab Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


4.		Key Board for Computer	Logitech/Dell/HP or Equivalent		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. ADATA	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	     18		
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	   14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)


**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. ADATA USED	M/S:	REMARKS
5.	<b>Laptop</b>				<b>i5, 6<sup>th</sup> Gen, 8GB Ram, 500 Gb HDD, 15" display Dell/HP/Lenovo or Equivalent</b>
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


6.		LCD		Wide angle 17"/19" Hp/Dell/Lenovo or Equivalent	
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. HP	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	      18		
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	   14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


7. Mouse for Computer		Logitech/Dell/HP or Equivalent			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. LOG Tech	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products

**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS			REMARKS
8.	<b>Photocopy Machine</b>				<b>HD, imported 2000/3000/per day copy Minimum 3-year warranty with free parts after sale service</b>
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18		<i>Not quoted by any Firms.</i>
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Abid ali Shabir*  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Shoaib Akhtar*  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Ahmad Umair Virk*  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


9.		Printer (Black & White)	Hp 402Dn or Equivalent		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. HP	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoab Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


10. Printer Laserjet		HP Color LaserJet Pro M454dw or Equivalent			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. HP	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Imported	M/S:	REMARKS
11.	<b>RJ 45 Connector</b>				<b>Bay net or Equivalent (100 pcs/Box)</b>
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18		
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	32		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. HP	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18		
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


13.		T.P Line Router		03 Antenna, TP link or Equivalent	
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. TP Link	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
 Bio medical Engineer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products

**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS			REMARKS
14.	<b>Tablet for Macs</b>		<b>4Gb Ram, 64 GB Memory, Back Camera 16MP, front Camera 08 MP</b>		
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18		<i>Not quoted items.</i>
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)


  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)


**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2021-2022**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**I.T Equipment**


**STAGE: 02**

15.		Toner 26 A		HP, B/Q Imported or Equivalent	
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. China	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
 Bio medical Engineer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)


**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**

16. USB		32 GB, Samsung, SanDisk, Kingston or Equivalent			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Sandisk	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
Mr. Abid ali Shabir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
Mr. Shoaib Akhtar  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk  
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)


**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**

17. VGA Cables		Best Quality			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Sandsk	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
Bio medical Engineer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2021-2022**


Technical Evaluation of products


**I.T Equipment****STAGE: 02**


18.		WIFI Catcher	TP Link, or Equivalent		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. TP Link	M/S:	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
**Mr. Abid ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
**Mr. Shoaib Akhtar**  
 Bio medical Engineer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)